## DEVELOPMENTAL COUNSELING FORM

For use of this larm, see PM 22-100; the proposent agency is TRADOC

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

LITHORITY:

5 USC 501, Departmental Regulations; 10 USC 5013, Secretary of the Army and E.O. 9397 (SSN)

UNCIPAL PURPOSE:

To easiet leaders in conducting and recording counsaling data pertaining to subordinates

ROUTINE USES:

For subordinate leader development IAW FM 22-100, Leaders should use this form as nace

DISCLOSURE:

Discissors a valentery.

PART I - ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Herna (Last, First, MI)

Rank/Grade MSG/E-8 Social Security No.

Dale of Counseling

ADKINS, PAUL D.

Name and Title of Counseio

07 JUNE 2010

Organization

HHC, 2BCT, 10MTN DIV (LI), COS HAMMER, IRAQ 09308

STEVEN J. LIM, CPT. BRIGADE S-2

## PART IF - BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Purpose of Counselling: (Leader states the reason for the counselling, e.g., performance/professional prowth or event-oriented counselling, and includes the leader's facts and observations prior to he counseling.)

Event oriented counseling for 2BCT Brigade S-2 NCOIC: PFC Mansing's email on 24 April 2010

## PART II - SUMMARY OF COUNSELING

Complete this section during or immediately subsequent to counseling.

Key Points of Discussion:

MSG Adkins, you showed me an email from PFC Manning that you received on 24 APRIL 2010. The email you sent me contained a write up from 7. Manning regarding his problem with cross dessing and gender identity. You forwarded the email to me on 03 JUNE 2010. The email also included cture with him in a wig and make-up. After thinking about it overnight, I feel the need to address some concerns regarding this situation. The point of as counseling is to identify the reasons why you did not bring forth PFC Manning's issues to the chain of command. Upon reading the email and looking at the picture, it is obvious that PFC Manning has mental health issues and gender identity crisis. You could have brought the issue to me, even though I was going on EML on 28 APRIL 10. We both should have sought out guidance from the CDR/ISG, or BCT XO/CSM. PFC Manning possessed a TS/SCI clearance. Upon reciept of the email, he should have immediately been removed from the SCIF and had his clearance DEROO'd, followed up by a recommendation for a command refferal behavioral health appointment, Under no circumstances should we have allowed him to continue to perform his cluster as an intelligence analyst. I was not present for his next outburt which occurred a few days later when PPC Manning asseulted SPC Showmen inside the SCIF. If we would have removed him upon reciept of the email on 24 APRIL 2010, that incident would not have occurred. I am responsible for everything that does and does not happen in our shop. That is not the type of environment I want to create and I feel that contributes to a negative command climate. I think it was obvious to our Soldiers that PFC Manning had issues and should not have been working in the SCIF. We connot allow that type of behavoir to be the standard. As intelligence professionals, we should hold our Soldiers to higher standards which our leaders should enforce. On 26 APRIL 2010, you wrote a memorandum for record to the behavior health doctor. This was a good move on your behalf. You addressed PFC Manning's email to the doctor which was the right thing to do. I think you did a very good job describing his personal issues. However, this still doesn't negate the fact that you should have brought PFC Manning's email up to my attention for further action.

Answer the questions below:

1. Why did you not show me PFC Manning's email prior to 03 JUNE 2010?

- tried to handle at the lowest level

- based on experience, thought thurspy would help
2. Why did you not remove him from the SCIF and DEROG his security clearance after reading his email?

- Should have talked it over together same as above

hand sign to 20/20
I honestly do not know what I would have done to PFC Manning if I were in your situation. However, I would have sought guidance from the chain of command. If you did not submit the memorandum for record to the behavioral health doctor, your lack of action would have clearly been a case of direlection of duty. In the fature, do not heritate to report to me any issues with our Soldiers. I have already tasked you to conduct a thorough review of our OPSEC procedures with recommendations to prevent spillage issues in future. I also ask you to take a look at our other Soldiers mental health statuses. I'm not saying there is issues, I just want you to take a closer look and make sure we don't have another incident like PFC Manning in the future

OTHER INSTRUCTIONS

This form will be disstrayed upon; measignment (other than rehabilitative transfers) , separation at ETS, or upon minument. For separation requirements and notification of loss of benefits/consequences see local directives and AR 635-200.

DEFENSE EXHIBIT RER for identification PAGE OFFERED: ANGE ADMITTED: PAGE of PAGES

Action: (Outrines actions that at be specific enough to modify or m					2015
assessment (Part IV belov).)					
- We will conduct follow up counseling quarte	rly, or as needed				
Complete the OPSEC assessment					
- Evaluate our current Soldier's mental health e	asessments and brief me on any	COnstas	•		
	•		•		
				•	
m .	•				
Session Closing: (The leader summi subordinate agreea/disagrees and provi			sulordinate understands li	he plan of action	on The
Individual Counseled: I agree	disagree with the information				
Individual courseled remerks:					
·	•		•		
	_				
Signature of Individual Counseled.	Pol	0	-	D=: Ø	8JUN 10
Leader Responsibilities: (Leader's	responsibilities in Implemen	sting the plan of action.)			
	•		•		
		•			
	401			Dale: //	STUN10
Signature of Counselor:	Wy K				JUNIO
PART IV - ASSESSMENT OF THE PLAN OF ACTION  Assessment: (Did the plan of action achieve the desired results? This section is compiled by bith the leader and the individual counseled					
Assessment: (Did the plan of action ac and provides usefulinformation for follo	chieve the desired results? W-up counseling.)	This section is complete	d by both the leader and the	e individual co	unseled
		٠,٢			
		·			
				•	
		•			
			•		
naelor:	individual		Dale of Attes	sment;	
	<del></del>				
Maker Both the severe				lles	